

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,251	07/03/2003	Ian D. Haynes	03966(LC 0128 PUS)	4905
7590 09/08/2005		EXAMINER		
Jeffrey J. Chapp			BROWN, PETER R	
Artz & Artz, P.	C.			
Suite 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
28333 Telegraph Road			3636	
Southfield, MI				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/613,251	HAYNES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter R. Brown	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 June 2005</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
-	6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,7,9,10 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) <u>3-6,8,11,12 and 18-20</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o					
اـــا(o	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		xammer. Note the attached Office	Action of 161111 1 70 102.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		·				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/613,251

Art Unit: 3636

Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13, in reciting the device, fails to sufficiently interconnect and/or relatively position the housing and the suspended element, and as a result, the claim is confusing and unclear as to the structure of the device. Such relative location between the housing and the suspended element must be set forth in order to clearly and positively define the invention.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,2,7,10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Bell or Liao.

Both Bell (fig. 5) and Liao (fig. 1) show structure as claimed, including a suspended element 1,18, respectively coupled to a flexible extension member 30 of Bell, 10 (and/or handle straps) of Liao, which are coupled to the seat system. Note that both have clamping means for securing to tubes of the headrest.

While the function of applicants suspended vibration absorbing system is different than the prior art, there is no defining structure set forth in the above claims to sufficiently define the tuned vibration absorber and differentiate Application/Control Number: 10/613,251

Art Unit: 3636

thereover, and as such, the structural elements found in the claims are met by the prior art to Bell and Liao.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Bell or Liao.

Whether the flexible extension member and suspended element are integrally formed is a matter of design choice, as is the nature of the attachment of the seat system mechanism.

Claims 3-6,8,11,12, and 18-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Applicant's arguments filed June 15, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Contrary to applicant's arguments, the limitations inserted into the claims do not define over the art of record. The phrase is not structurally limiting and

Application/Control Number: 10/613,251

Art Unit: 3636

provides only a functional description, which is given little patentable weight in an article claim, especially when a 102 reference has been applied, and therefore is There is no structure in the rejected claims to define over the structure found in the Bell and Liao references, and as the prior art discloses every element in the claim, the rejection has been maintained.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter R. Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-6853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

prb